

Vera Strobel

Enforcing International Humanitarian Law via Individual Rights

The Role of Strategic Litigation in Germany



ASSER PRESS



Springer

Vera Strobel
Justus-Liebig-Universität Gießen
Giessen, Germany

ISBN 978-94-6265-686-4 ISBN 978-94-6265-687-1 (eBook)
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-6265-687-1>

Published by T.M.C. ASSER PRESS, The Hague, The Netherlands www.asserpress.nl
Produced and distributed for T.M.C. ASSER PRESS by Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg

This work was supported by the German Academic Scholarship Foundation.

© T.M.C. ASSER PRESS and the author 2025

No part of this work may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, microfilming, recording or otherwise, without written permission from the Publisher, with the exception of any material supplied specifically for the purpose of being entered and executed on a computer system, for exclusive use by the purchaser of the work.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

This T.M.C. ASSER PRESS imprint is published by the registered company Springer-Verlag GmbH, DE, part of Springer Nature.

The registered company address is: Heidelberger Platz 3, 14197 Berlin, Germany

If disposing of this product, please recycle the paper.

Acknowledgments

This book is based on my doctoral dissertation “Transnational Strategic Litigation as an Instrument for the Enforcement of IHL. Individual Rights-Based Avenues for Access to Justice in Germany”, submitted to the Faculty of Law of the Justus Liebig University Gießen in July 2024.

I hereby express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor Professor Dr. Thilo Marauhn for his insightful and continuous guidance throughout my Ph.D. project. I am also grateful for the prompt evaluation by my second supervisor, Prof. Dr. Dominik Steiger.

During my Ph.D., I was fortunate to receive two scholarships from the German Academic Scholarship Foundation (*Studienstiftung des Deutschen Volkes*), for which I am deeply grateful.

The unwavering support and thoughtful advice of the inspiring and dedicated team at the Chair of Professor Marauhn have been a true privilege. I am especially thankful for the discussions with and feedback by Dr. Ayşe-Martina Böhringer, Kristoffer Burck, Farnaz Dezfouli Asl, Dr. Nina Keller-Kemmerer and Sannimari Veini. I am also thankful for the support and advice of all my other colleagues and of the numerous researchers I encountered throughout my Ph.D.

I thank Keith Calhoun-Senghor for the meticulous support in the editing of the text of my Ph.D. and lastly I am profoundly grateful for the relentless support of Linda Strobel.

Gießen, Germany
December 2024

Vera Strobel

Contents

1	Introduction to the Book and Topic	1
1.1	Introductory Remarks	2
1.2	Goals and Methodology	7
1.3	Definition of Terms and Focus	10
1.3.1	The Definition of “Strategic Litigation” and its “Transnational” Aspects	10
1.3.2	The Enforcement of International Humanitarian Law in Situations of Armed Conflict	17
	References	19
2	Actors and Approaches of Strategic Litigation	27
2.1	Strategic Actors in Germany and their Strategic Litigation Approaches	28
2.1.1	The European Center for Constitutional and Human Rights (ECCHR)	29
2.1.2	The Society for Civil Rights (<i>Die Gesellschaft für Freiheitsrechte</i>)	34
2.1.3	Other German and Foreign NGOs	38
2.1.4	Common Denominators	41
2.1.5	Other Actors in the Field of Strategic Litigation	41
2.2	Strategies and Approaches of Strategic Litigation Actors	45
2.2.1	Common Mission of Strategic Actors	45
2.2.2	Strategic Selection of Cases and Fora	50
2.2.3	Objectives for a Strategically Litigated Case	52
2.2.4	Instruments of Strategic Litigation	55
2.2.5	Strategic Methods and Specific Usage of Legal Proceedings	57
2.3	Conclusion	59
	References	59

3	Framework for Access to Justice via Strategic Litigation	67
3.1	Introductory Remarks on Procedural Frameworks	68
3.2	Constitutional Complaints and Exemplary Fundamental Rights Cases	70
3.2.1	General Observations and Overview of Case Areas	74
3.2.2	Short Analysis: BND Surveillance Powers Abroad	75
3.3	Administrative Law and Cases Before Administrative Courts	78
3.3.1	General Observations on Administrative Procedure Law	78
3.3.2	Cases on Migration and Refugee Law	81
3.3.3	Cases on Environmental and Climate Change Law	82
3.3.4	Cases on Arms Export Law and Other Areas of Public Law	85
3.4	Criminal Law and Exemplary Cases	93
3.4.1	Overview of Cases	95
3.4.2	Short Analysis: The Rumsfeld Cases on War Crimes	97
3.4.3	Short Analysis: The Al-Khatib Trial on Crimes against Humanity in Syria	100
3.5	Civil Law and Exemplary Cases Before Civil Law Courts	105
3.6	International and European Legal Framework and Exemplary Cases	107
3.7	Institutional, Political, Socio-economic and Factual Aspects	113
3.8	Conclusion	116
	References	117
4	The Impact of Strategic Litigation	133
4.1	The Impact and Influence of Strategic Litigation	134
4.1.1	Legal Impact: Influence on the Law	135
4.1.2	Influence on the Legal System	137
4.1.3	Institutional Impact	138
4.1.4	Political Impact	139
4.1.5	Impact on Victims and Injured Individuals	140
4.1.6	Impact on Society	141
4.2	Possibilities for Contributing to the Domestic Enforcement of IHL	142
4.2.1	Promoting the Domestic Enforceability of IHL	143
4.2.2	Contribution to Fact-Finding Regarding Situations of Armed Conflict	145
4.2.3	Implementation of IHL in Foreign, Security and Defense Policy	146
4.2.4	Impact on the Dissemination of IHL Principles	148
4.3	Conclusion	149
	References	149

5	Concerns and Issues Regarding Strategic Litigation	155
5.1	Issues Within the Domestic Legal Order	156
5.1.1	Separation of Powers and Democratic Legitimacy of Judicial Decisions	156
5.1.2	Possible Misuse of Individual Rights Instruments	164
5.2	Risks to the International Legal Order	169
5.3	Further Criticism and Concerns	173
5.4	Conclusion	180
	References	181
6	Potential of Strategic Litigation for Enforcing IHL	187
6.1	Mobilizing the Law to Strengthen Individual Rights	188
6.2	Contributing to the Application, Development, and Implementation of International Law	191
6.3	Facilitating Compliance with and Enforcement of IHL	193
6.3.1	Initiating an Examination of Situations of Armed Conflict	196
6.3.2	Classifying Events Under IHL and Reviewing National IHL Obligations	197
6.4	Fundamental and Human Rights as a Vehicle for Enforcing IHL	200
6.4.1	To Respect: Negative Dimension (<i>Eingriffsdimension</i>)	201
6.4.2	To Protect: Positive Dimension (<i>Schutzpflichtdimension</i>)	204
6.4.3	Invoking Other Rules of Constitutional Law	206
6.4.4	European and International Human Rights Law	212
6.5	Domestic Law as a Vehicle for the Enforcement of IHL	214
6.5.1	Administrative Law	214
6.5.2	Criminal Law	218
6.5.3	Civil Law	219
6.6	Conclusion	222
	References	222
7	Case Study: US Drone Strikes in Yemen via Ramstein Air Base	229
7.1	Background, Facts, and the Aims of the Plaintiffs	230
7.2	Proceedings Before the US Courts	232
7.3	Administrative Court Cologne—Judgment of 27 May 2019	237
7.3.1	Arguments of the Plaintiffs	238
7.3.2	Arguments of the German Government	242
7.3.3	The Court’s Decision of 27 May 2015	243
7.3.4	Reception and Legal Assessment of the Decision	250
7.4	Higher Administrative Court Münster—Judgment of 19 March 2019	251
7.4.1	Reasons for the Appeal and Counter Arguments by the German Government	251

7.4.2	The Judgment of the Court	253
7.4.3	Reception and Legal Assessment of the Decision	254
7.5	Federal Administrative Court—Judgment of 25 November 2020	256
7.5.1	Reasons for Appeal (<i>Revision</i>) by the German Government	256
7.5.2	Counter Arguments by the Plaintiffs	257
7.5.3	Decision of 25 November 2020 by the Court	258
7.5.4	Reception of the Decision and Legal Assessment of the Decision	265
7.6	Constitutional Complaint Before the German Federal Constitutional Court	268
7.6.1	Arguments of the Plaintiffs	269
7.6.2	Brief Assessment and Conclusion on the Proceedings	271
7.7	Assessment of Litigation Possibilities and the Case’s Legal Questions	271
7.7.1	Possibilities for Challenging Targeted Killings by Drones	272
7.7.2	Essential Legal Questions	276
7.8	Enquiry into the Strategic Mission of the ECCHR in the Case	281
7.8.1	The ECCHR’s Mission, Campaign, Partners, and Funding	281
7.8.2	Similar Cases Litigating Drone Strikes	285
7.8.3	Legal Aim and Political Mission Behind the Cases	298
7.9	Impact of the Case	302
7.9.1	Individual Enforcement of IHL and Other Legal Impact	303
7.9.2	Impact on Policy	304
7.9.3	Impact on Victims, Society, and Other Impacts	308
7.10	Conclusion and Prospects	308
	References	309
8	Case Study: The Air Strike Ordered by the German Military in Kunduz	315
8.1	Background and Facts	316
8.2	Proceedings Before the Administrative Court Cologne	319
8.3	Criminal Proceedings (<i>Strafverfahren</i>)	324
8.3.1	German Federal Public Prosecutor General—Decision of 16 April 2010	324
8.3.2	German Federal Public Prosecutor General—Decision of 3 September 2010 and Federal Court of Justice—Decision of 21 February 2011	331
8.3.3	Higher Regional Court Düsseldorf—Decisions of 16 February and 21 March 2011	332

8.3.4	Federal Constitutional Court—Decision of 19 May 2015	334
8.3.5	ECtHR—Decision of 28 August 2019 (<i>Hanan v. Germany</i>)	338
8.3.6	Concluding Assessment of the Decisions	349
8.4	Proceedings Before Civil Courts (<i>Zivilprozesse</i>)	350
8.4.1	Regional Court Bonn—Decision of 11 December 2012	350
8.4.2	Higher Regional Court Cologne—Decision of 30 April 2015	358
8.4.3	Federal Court of Justice—Decision of 6 October 2016	366
8.4.4	Federal Constitutional Court—Decision of 18 November 2020	378
8.4.5	Concluding Assessment of the Decisions	383
8.5	Assessment of Litigation Possibilities and the Case’s Legal Questions	384
8.5.1	Litigation Possibilities in Cases Involving Military Operations	385
8.5.2	Essential Legal Questions	387
8.6	Enquiry into Strategic Litigation by the ECCHR in the Case	392
8.6.1	The ECCHR’s Role, Campaign, Partners, and Funding	392
8.6.2	Similar Cases Litigating Air Strikes	395
8.6.3	Legal Aim and Political Mission Behind the Cases	401
8.7	Impacts of the Case	403
8.7.1	Enforcement of Compliance with IHL and Further Legal Impacts	403
8.7.2	Impact on Policy	405
8.7.3	Impact on Victims, Society, and Other Impacts	410
8.8	Conclusion	412
	References	413
9	Brief Comparison of the Cases and Conclusion	419
9.1	Similarities of the Cases	419
9.2	Notable Differences Between the Cases	421
9.3	Concluding Remarks and Outlook on Enforcing IHL	423
9.4	Overall Conclusion	424
	Reference	425
	Index	427

Abbreviations

ACLU	American Civil Liberties Union
AFRICOM	United States Africa Command
AP	Additional Protocols of 1977 to the Geneva Conventions of 1949
ATT	Arms Trade Treaty
AWG	German Foreign Trade and Payments Act (<i>Außenwirtschaftsgesetz</i>)
BGB	German Civil Code (<i>Bürgerliches Gesetzbuch</i>)
BGBI	Federal Law Gazette (<i>Bundesgesetzblatts</i>)
BGH	Federal Court of Justice (<i>Bundesgerichtshof</i>)
BND	German Federal Intelligence Service (<i>Bundesnachrichtendienst</i>)
BVerfG	German Federal Constitutional Court (<i>Bundesverfassungsgericht</i>)
BVerfGG	Act on the Federal Constitutional Court (<i>Bundesverfassungsgerichtsgesetz</i>)
BVerwG	Federal Administrative Court (<i>Bundesverwaltungsgericht</i>)
CCR	Center for Constitutional Rights
CJEU	Court of Justice of the EU
Constitutional Court	German Federal Constitutional Court (<i>Bundesverfassungsgericht</i>)
ECCHR	European Center for Constitutional and Human Rights
ECHR	European Convention on Human Rights
ECtHR	European Court of Human Rights
EDRi	European Digital Rights
EFF	Electronic Frontier Foundation
FIDH	Fédération Internationale de Ligues des Droits de l'Homme
GC	Geneva Conventions of 1949
GFF	Society for Civil Rights (<i>Gesellschaft für Freiheitsrechte e.V.</i>)
GG	Basic Law (<i>Grundgesetz</i>)

GLAN	Global Legal Action Network
GVG	Courts Constitution Act (<i>Gerichtsverfassungsgesetz</i>)
HLCI	Humboldt Law Clinic Internetrecht
HRC	Human Rights Council
IACtHR	Inter-American Court of Human Rights
ICC	International Criminal Court
ICRC	International Committee of the Red Cross
ICCPR	International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights
ICJ	International Court of Justice
IHL	International Humanitarian Law
ILC	International Law Commission
ISAF	International Security Assistance Force
JUMEN	Judicial Human Rights Work in Germany (<i>Juristische Menschenrechtsarbeit in Deutschland e.V.</i>)
LG	Regional Court (<i>Landgericht</i>)
MPIL	Max Planck Institute for Comparative Public Law & International Law (MPIL)
NAACP	National Association for the Advancement of Colored People
NGO	Non-Governmental Organization
OECD	Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development
OLG	Higher Regional Court (<i>Oberlandesgericht</i>)
OSCE	Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe
OVG	Higher Administrative Court (<i>Oberverwaltungsgericht</i>)
Prosecutor	Federal Public Prosecutor General (<i>Generalbundesanwalt</i>)
PRT	Provincial Reconstruction Team
RPA	Remotely Piloted Aircraft
SALC	Southern Africa Litigation Centre
SLAPP	Strategic Lawsuit Against Public Participation
SOFA	NATO Status of Forces Agreement of 19 June 1951
SOFA-AP	Supplementary Agreement to the NATO SOFA of 3 August 1959
StGB	German Criminal Code (<i>Strafgesetzbuch</i>)
StPO	German Code of Criminal Procedure (<i>Strafprozessordnung</i>)
TAR	Tribunale Amministrativo Regionale
TWAIL	Third World Approaches to International Law
UAV	Unmanned Aerial Vehicles
UNAMA	United Nations Assistance Mission in Afghanistan
VG	Administrative Court (<i>Verwaltungsgericht</i>)
VStGB	German Code of Crimes against International Law (<i>Völkerstrafgesetzbuch</i>)
VwGO	Code of Administrative Court Procedure (<i>Verwaltungsgerichtsordnung</i>)
WWCA	Weapons of War Control Act (<i>Kriegswaffenkontrollgesetz</i>)
ZPO	Code of Civil Procedure (<i>Zivilprozessordnung</i>)